

This is a repository copy of *The evolutionary history of dogs in the Americas*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/139498/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Ní Leathlobhair, M, Perri, A R, Irving-Pease, E K et al. (47 more authors) (2018) The evolutionary history of dogs in the Americas. *Science*. pp. 81-85. ISSN 0036-8075

<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao4776>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Title: The Evolutionary History of Dogs in the Americas

Authors: Máire Ní Leathlobhair^{1*}, Angela R. Perri^{2,3*}, Evan K. Irving-Pease^{4*}, Kelsey E. Witt^{5*}, Anna Linderholm^{4,6*}, James Haile^{4,7}, Ophelie Lebrasseur⁴, Carly Ameen⁸, Jeffrey Blick^{9,†}, Adam R. Boyko¹⁰, Selina Brace¹¹, Yahaira Nunes Cortes¹², Susan J. Crockford¹³, Alison Devault¹⁴, Evangelos A. Dimopoulos⁴, Morley Eldridge¹⁵, Jacob Enk¹⁴, Shyam Gopalakrishnan⁷, Kevin Gori¹, Vaughan Grimes¹⁶, Eric Guiry¹⁷, Anders J. Hansen^{7,18}, Ardern Hulme-Beaman^{4,8}, John Johnson¹⁹, Andrew Kitchen²⁰, Aleksei K. Kasparov²¹, Young-Mi Kwon¹, Pavel A. Nikolskiy^{21,22}, Carlos Peraza Lope²³, Aurélie Manin^{24,25}, Terrance Martin²⁶, Michael Meyer²⁷, Kelsey Noack Myers²⁸, Mark Omura²⁹, Jean-Marie Rouillard^{14,30}, Elena Y. Pavlova^{21,31}, Paul Sciulli³², Mikkel-Holger S. Sinding^{7,18,33}, Andrea Strakova¹, Varvara V. Ivanova³⁴, Christopher Widga³⁵, Eske Willerslev⁷, Vladimir V. Pitulko²¹, Ian Barnes¹¹, M. Thomas P. Gilbert^{7,36}, Keith M. Dobney⁸, Ripan S. Malhi^{37,38}, Elizabeth P. Murchison^{1,a,§}, Greger Larson^{4,a,§} and Laurent A. F. Frantz^{4,39,a,§}

Affiliations:

1 Transmissible Cancer Group, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.

2 Department of Archaeology, Durham University, Durham, U.K.

3 Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany

4 The Palaeogenomics & Bio-Archaeology Research Network, Research Laboratory for Archaeology and History of Art, The University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.

5 School of Integrative Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, USA

6 Department of Anthropology, Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

7 Centre for GeoGenetics, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

8 Department of Archaeology, Classics and Egyptology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

9 Department of Government and Sociology, Georgia College and State University, USA

10 Department of Biomedical Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

11 Department of Earth Sciences, Natural History Museum, London, UK

12 Department of Anthropology, University at Albany-SUNY, Albany, New York, USA

13 Pacific Identifications Inc., Victoria, Canada

14 Arbor Biosciences, Ann Arbor, USA.

15 Millennia Research Limited, Victoria, Canada

16 Department of Archaeology, Memorial University, Queen's College, St. John's, Canada

17 Department of Anthropology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

18 The Qimmeq Project, University of Greenland, Nuussuaq, Greenland

19 Department of Anthropology, Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, USA

20 Department of Anthropology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

21 Institute for the Material Culture History, Russian Academy of Sciences, St Petersburg, Russia

22 Geological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

23 Centro INAH Yucatán, Mérida, Yucatán, México

24 Department of Archaeology, BioArCh, University of York, York, UK

25 UMR 7209, Archéozoologie, Archéobotanique. Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, France

26 Research and Collections Center, Illinois State Museum, Springfield, USA

27 Touray & Meyer Vet Clinic, Serrekunda, Gambia
 28 Glenn A. Black Laboratory of Anthropology, Indiana University Bloomington, USA
 29 Department of Mammalogy, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge,
 MA, USA
 30 Chemical Engineering Department, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA.
 31 Arctic & Antarctic Research Institute, St Petersburg, Russia
 32 Department of Anthropology, Ohio State University, Columbus, USA
 33 Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
 34 VNIIOkeangeologia Research Institute, 1 Angliiskiy Ave., St Petersburg, 190021, Russia
 35 Center of Excellence in Paleontology, East Tennessee State University, Gray, USA
 36 Norwegian University of Science and Technology, University Museum, Trondheim, Norway
 37 University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Department of Anthropology, USA
 38 University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, USA
 39 School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

* These authors contributed equally to this work

^a These authors co-supervised this work

[†] deceased

[§] Corresponding authors: Laurent A. F. Frantz – laurent.frantz@arch.ox.ac.uk ; Greger Larson – greger.larson@arch.ox.ac.uk ; Elizabeth P. Murchison - epm27@cam.ac.uk

Abstract (129 words): Dogs were present in the Americas prior to the arrival of European colonists, but the origin and fate of these pre-contact dogs are largely unknown. We sequenced 71 mitochondrial and seven nuclear genomes from ancient North American and Siberian dogs spanning ~9,000 years. Our analysis indicates that American dogs were not domesticated from North American wolves. Instead, American dogs form a monophyletic lineage that likely originated in Siberia and dispersed into the Americas alongside people. After the arrival of Europeans, native American dogs almost completely disappeared, leaving a minimal genetic legacy in modern dog populations. Remarkably, the closest detectable extant lineage to pre-contact American dogs is the canine transmissible venereal tumor, a contagious cancer clone derived from an individual dog that lived up to 8,000 years ago.

Main Text (2362 words): The history of the global dispersal of dogs remains contentious (1). In North America, the earliest confirmed dog remains have been radiocarbon dated to ~9,900 calibrated years before present (cal BP) (Koster, Illinois; (2, 3)), approximately 6,000 years after the earliest unambiguous evidence of humans arriving in North America (4). While these early dogs were most likely not domesticated *in situ* (5), the timing of their arrival and their geographic origins are unknown. Studies of the control region of mitochondrial DNA have suggested that the pre-contact American dog population was largely replaced following the introduction of European dogs after the arrival of Europeans, and Eurasian Arctic dogs (e.g., Siberian huskies) during the Alaskan gold rush (5–7). It remains possible, however, that some modern American dogs retain a degree of ancestry from the pre-contact population (8, 9).

We sequenced complete mitochondrial genomes (mitogenomes) from 71 archaeological dog remains collected in North America and Siberia (Fig. 1a; Table S1) and analyzed these with 145

mitogenomes derived from a global dataset of modern and ancient canids (3). A phylogenetic tree constructed from the mitogenomes indicated that all sampled pre-contact dogs (spanning ~9,000 years) formed a monophyletic group within dog haplogroup A (Fig. 1b; Fig. S3; Fig. S6), which we refer to as pre-contact dogs (PCD). This analysis indicated that the most closely related mitochondrial lineage to the PCD clade are ~9,000 year-old dogs from Zhokhov Island in Eastern Siberia (3) (Fig. 1b; Fig. S3; Fig. S6). In addition, molecular clock analyses suggest that all PCD dogs shared a common ancestor ~14,600 years ago (95% high posterior density [HPD]: 16,484-12,965; Fig. 1b; Fig. S6), which diverged from a shared ancestor with the Zhokhov Island dogs ~1,000 years earlier (95% HPD: 17,646-13,739; Fig. 1b; Fig. S6). Interestingly, these time frames are broadly coincident with early migrations into the Americas (10–12).

To further investigate the evolutionary history of PCD, we generated low coverage nuclear genome sequences (~0.005-2.0x) from seven pre-contact dogs sampled in six locations in North America spanning ~9,000 years (Table S1). We analyzed these nuclear data alongside publicly available datasets including 45 modern canid whole genomes sampled from Eurasia and the Americas (Table S2) (13–16). A neighbor-joining tree constructed using single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) revealed that, like the mitogenome phylogeny, PCD individuals clustered in a distinct monophyletic lineage that is more closely related to dogs than to either Eurasian or North American wolves (Fig. 1c). Furthermore, our nuclear genome analysis indicated that the closest-related sister clade to PCD consists of modern Arctic dogs from the Americas (including Alaskan malamutes, Greenland dogs and Alaskan huskies) and Eurasia (Siberian huskies; Fig. 1c). Treemix (3) (Fig. 1d), outgroup f3-statistics (Fig. S13) and D-statistics (Fig. S14; Fig. S15) also supported this phylogenetic structure. Combined, our mitochondrial and nuclear results indicate that PCD were not domesticated *in situ* from North American wolves, but were instead introduced by people into the Americas via Beringia from a population that was related to modern Arctic dogs.

Studies of nuclear data have identified two modern clades of global dogs: an East Asian clade (including dingoes) and a Western Eurasian clade (including European, Indian, and African dogs) (9, 14, 16). These analyses placed modern Arctic dogs with either Western Eurasian (16, 17) or East Asian dogs (9, 14). Our analyses of nuclear data revealed a close relationship between Arctic dogs and PCD which together form a clade (PCD/Arctic) that is basal to both Western Eurasian and East Asian dogs and suggests the existence of a third monophyletic clade of dogs (Fig. 1c). Though all three clades are well-supported, the relationships between them are ambiguous. For example, our outgroup f3-statistics analysis (Fig. S13) indicated that the PCD/Arctic clade is basal to the two other Eurasian dog clades. However, when excluding specific East Asian dogs that possess evidence of gene flow from European dogs (Table S7; (14)), East Asian dogs became the most basal clade in a neighbor joining tree, and the PCD/Arctic clade became the sister clade to Western Eurasian dogs (Fig. S11). Conversely, admixture graphs ((3); Fig. S25) and TreeMix (18) (Fig. 1d) suggested that the PCD/Arctic clade is closest to East Asian dogs and West Eurasian dogs are the most basal. Conflicting phylogenies based on nuclear data have been reported on numerous occasions (1, 14, 16), and these inconsistent topologies could result either from substantial post-divergence gene flow among Eurasian dogs (Fig. 1c; Fig. S25; (3, 14)), or from a near simultaneous divergence of all three lineages.

Our nuclear data indicates that modern Arctic dogs sampled from both Siberia and North America cluster in a distinct phylogenetic group that forms a sister taxon to PCD (Fig. 1c). This close

139 phylogenetic relationship between modern American Arctic dogs (Alaskan malamutes, Alaskan
140 huskies and Greenland dogs) and modern Eurasian Arctic dogs (Siberian huskies; Fig. 1c; Fig. S11;
141 Fig. S13) suggests that PCD are not the direct ancestor of modern American Arctic dogs. It is
142 possible that modern American Arctic dogs are the descendants of dogs brought by the Paleo-
143 Eskimo (~6,000 years ago) or by the Thule (~1,000 years ago)(19). However, both mitogenomic
144 and low coverage nuclear data from a late Paleo-Eskimo dog from Kodiak Island, Alaska (Uyak:
145 AL3198; Fig. 1a; Table S1) indicate that this dog is more closely related to PCD than to modern
146 American Arctic dogs (Fig. S10; Fig. S4). This suggests that modern American Arctic dogs are not
147 the descendants of Paleo-Eskimo dogs and that Paleo-Eskimos likely acquired local dogs in North
148 America or brought Siberian dogs that were genetically indistinguishable from PCD. Our sampling
149 did not include dogs from sites associated with the Thule culture, so it is plausible that the modern
150 American Arctic dogs included in our analysis, such as Alaskan malamutes and Greenland dogs, are
151 the descendants of dogs introduced by the Thule. Alternatively, the modern American Arctic dogs
152 that we sampled may be the descendants of recently introduced Eurasian Arctic dogs, many of
153 which were introduced during the 19th-century Alaskan gold rush and as sled dog racing stock (6).
154 Regardless, modern American Arctic dog populations have complex histories with potential genetic
155 contributions from both American and Eurasian Arctic dogs (3).

156
157 Interestingly, genomic analyses of canine transmissible venereal tumor (CTVT) genomes indicated
158 a close affinity with modern Arctic dogs (20). CTVT is a contagious cancer clone that manifests as
159 genital tumors and spreads between dogs by the transfer of living cancer cells during mating. This
160 clone first originated from the cells of an individual dog, the “CTVT founder dog”, which lived
161 several thousand years ago, and still carries the genome of this individual (20). To investigate the
162 relationship between the CTVT founder dog and PCD, we analyzed two CTVT genomes alongside
163 a panel of modern and ancient canid genomes.

164
165 In order to accomodate for the fact that CTVT is a cancer, and to limit the impact of somatic
166 mutations, we confined our genotyping analysis to SNPs which mapped to genomic regions that
167 have retained both parental chromosomal copies in CTVT (20), and excluded singleton SNPs
168 exclusively called in CTVT genomes. Remarkably, CTVT clustered with PCD on neighbor-joining
169 trees (Fig. 1c; Fig. S10; Fig. S11), a Bayesian tree (Fig. S12), Treemix (Fig. 1d) and admixture
170 graphs (Fig. S25). This result is further supported by both outgroup f3 (Fig. S13) and D-statistics
171 (Fig. S14; Fig. S15). These findings indicate that the CTVT founder dog is more closely related to
172 PCD than to modern Arctic dogs. Multiple horizontal transfers of mitochondrial genomes from dog
173 hosts to CTVT tumors has led to the replacement of the founder dog’s mitogenome (21, 22), thus
174 we could not determine the mitochondrial haplogroup of the CTVT founder dog and we limited our
175 analyses to the nuclear genome.

176
177 To assess whether the CTVT founder dog lived prior to, or after dogs entered North America, we
178 re-estimated its temporal origin by sequencing the nuclear genomes of two CTVT tumors, 608T and
179 609T. 608T is a CTVT tumor from the skin of a ten-month-old puppy which was likely engrafted
180 from its mother’s vaginal tumor (609T) during birth. We identified mutations with a clock-like
181 mutational process which were present in 608T, but not detectable in 609T, and used these to derive
182 a lower bound for a somatic mutation rate for CTVT (3). Applying this rate to the total burden of
183 clock-like somatic mutation in the CTVT lineage (3), we estimated that the CTVT founder dog

lived up to 8,225 years ago (3). This time frame postdates the initial arrival of dogs into the Americas, raising the possibility that CTVT may have originated in a dog living in North America.

To further assess this scenario, we quantified the degree of introgression between North American endemic canids (coyotes and North American wolves), PCD dogs, modern Arctic dogs, and the CTVT founder dog. Our analyses indicated that, unlike Arctic dogs, PCD dogs share number of derived alleles with coyotes and North American wolves, indicative of admixture (Fig. S16; Fig. S17). The CTVT founder dog also showed some weak evidence of coyote ancestry, but did not appear to possess admixture with North American wolves (Fig. S16; Fig. S17). Because coyotes are restricted to North America, this suggests that CTVT may have originated there. Since we did not ascertain the degree of coyote ancestry in ancient PCD-related dogs in Northern Siberia (such as the Zhokov Island dogs, Fig 1), however, this analysis does not establish the location in which CTVT originated. Furthermore, studies that used somatic mutations to reconstruct the phylogeography of the CTVT clone indicated a deep divergence in Asia and a recent introduction to the Americas (21). Altogether, these results suggest a scenario in which CTVT originated in Asia from a dog that was closely related to PCD, although we cannot exclude the possibility that the clone arose in America, then dispersed early into Asia before being reintroduced to America.

The legacy of PCD in modern American dog populations is uncertain. It has been suggested that some North American wolves obtained a mutation leading to black coat color possibly via admixture with early American dogs (23). This allele was not present, however, in either of the two higher coverage ancient PCD dogs in this study (3) or in CTVT (20). Additional ancient genomes are necessary to determine if this allele was present in the PCD population.

In addition, previous studies have argued that some modern American dog populations possess a genetic signature from indigenous American dogs (8, 9, 24). To test this hypothesis, we analyzed nuclear data obtained from more than 5,000 modern dogs (including American village dogs) genotyped on a 180K SNP array (9). We found 7-20% PCD ancestry in modern American Arctic dogs using f_4 ratios (Alaskan husky, Alaskan malamute and Greenland dogs; Table S10&S11; Supplementary Material). This result, however, could reflect ancient population substructure in Arctic dogs rather than genuine admixture (Supplementary Material). Our f_4 ratio analysis did not detect a significant admixture signal from PCD into any modern American dogs of European ancestry (Table S10).

Our ADMIXTURE analysis detected varying degrees of PCD/Arctic ancestry in three individual Carolina dogs (0-33%; Fig. S20). This analysis, however, could not distinguish between PCD and Arctic ancestry, and we cannot rule out that this was result of admixture from modern Arctic dogs and not from PCD (3). The majority of modern American dog populations, including 138 village dogs from South America and multiple “native” breeds (e.g., hairless dogs and Catahoulas), possess no detectable traces of PCD ancestry (Fig. S20; Table S10; Fig. 2a), though this analysis may suffer from ascertainment bias.

To further assess the contribution of PCD to modern American dog populations, we also analyzed 590 additional modern dog mitogenomes, including 169 village and breed dogs that were sampled in North and South America (21). We identified two modern American dogs (a chihuahua and a mixed breed dog from Nicaragua) that carried PCD mitochondrial haplotypes (Fig. S5); consistent

with a limited degree of PCD ancestry (<2%) in modern American dogs. We also identified three East Asian dogs that carried a PCD haplotype, possibly as a result of ancient population substructure or recent dog dispersal (Fig. S5; (3)). Although greater degrees of PCD ancestry may remain in American dogs which have not yet been sampled, our results suggest that European dogs almost completely replaced native American dog lineages. This near disappearance of PCD likely resulted from the arrival of Europeans, which led to shifts in cultural preferences and the persecution of indigenous dogs (25). Introduced European dogs may also have brought infectious diseases to which PCD were susceptible.

The first appearance of dogs in the North American archaeological record occurs ~6,000 years after the earliest evidence of human activity (4, 11). In addition, our molecular clock analysis indicates that the PCD lineage appeared ~6,500 years after North American human lineages (Fig. 1b)(10). These discrepancies suggest that dogs may not have arrived into the Americas alongside the first human migration. A recent human genetic study suggests that Northern Native American populations admixed with an East Siberian population ~11,500 years ago(12). This timing is compatible with both the archaeological record and our PCD divergence time estimate and suggests a scenario in which dogs were brought to the Americas several thousand years after the first people arrived.

This initial dog population entered North America then dispersed throughout the Americas where it remained isolated for at least 9,000 years. Within the past 1,000 years, however, there have been at least three independent re-introductions of dogs. The first may have consisted of Arctic dogs that arrived with the Thule culture ~1,000 years ago (6). Then, beginning in the 15th century, Europeans brought a second wave of dogs that appear to have almost completely replaced indigenous dogs. Lastly, Siberian huskies were introduced to the American Arctic during the Alaskan gold rush (25). As a result of these more recent introductions, the modern American dog population is largely derived from Eurasian breeds, and the closest known extant vestige of the first American dogs now exists as a worldwide transmissible cancer.

References and Notes:

1. G. Larson *et al.*, Rethinking dog domestication by integrating genetics, archeology, and biogeography. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 8878–8883 (2012).
2. A. Perri *et al.*, New evidence of the earliest domestic dogs in the Americas, *Am. Antiq.*, under review (2018).
3. See supplementary materials.
4. T. Goebel, M. R. Waters, D. H. O'Rourke, The late Pleistocene dispersal of modern humans in the Americas. *Science*. **319**, 1497–1502 (2008).
5. J. A. Leonard *et al.*, Ancient DNA evidence for Old World origin of New World dogs. *Science*. **298**, 1613–1616 (2002).
6. S. K. Brown, C. M. Darwent, E. J. Wictum, B. N. Sacks, Using multiple markers to elucidate the ancient, historical and modern relationships among North American Arctic dog breeds. *Heredity* . **115**, 488–495 (2015).
7. K. E. Witt *et al.*, DNA analysis of ancient dogs of the Americas: Identifying possible founding haplotypes and reconstructing population histories. *J. Hum. Evol.* **79**, 105–118 (2015).
8. B. van Asch *et al.*, Pre-Columbian origins of Native American dog breeds, with only limited replacement by European dogs, confirmed by mtDNA analysis. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **280**, 20131142

- 276 (2013).
- 277 9. L. M. Shannon *et al.*, Genetic structure in village dogs reveals a Central Asian
278 domestication origin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **112**, 13639–13644 (2015).
- 279 10. M. Raghavan *et al.*, Genomic evidence for the Pleistocene and recent population history of
280 Native Americans. *Science*. **349**, aab3884 (2015).
- 281 11. K. E. Graf, I. Buvit, Human Dispersal from Siberia to Beringia: Assessing a Beringian
282 Standstill in Light of the Archaeological Evidence. *Curr. Anthropol.* **58**, S583–S603 (2017).
- 283 12. J. V. Moreno-Mayar *et al.*, Terminal Pleistocene Alaskan genome reveals first founding
284 population of Native Americans. *Nature*. **553**, 203–207 (2018).
- 285 13. Z. Fan *et al.*, Worldwide patterns of genomic variation and admixture in gray wolves.
286 *Genome Res.* **26**, 163–173 (2016).
- 287 14. G.-D. Wang *et al.*, Out of southern East Asia: the natural history of domestic dogs across the
288 world. *Cell Res.* **26** (2016), pp. 21–33.
- 289 15. A. H. Freedman *et al.*, Genome sequencing highlights the dynamic early history of dogs.
290 *PLoS Genet.* **10**, e1004016 (2014).
- 291 16. L. A. F. Frantz *et al.*, Genomic and archaeological evidence suggest a dual origin of
292 domestic dogs. *Science*. **352**, 1228–1231 (2016).
- 293 17. B. M. Vonholdt *et al.*, Genome-wide SNP and haplotype analyses reveal a rich history
294 underlying dog domestication. *Nature*. **464**, 898–902 (2010).
- 295 18. J. K. Pickrell, J. K. Pritchard, Inference of population splits and mixtures from genome-wide
296 allele frequency data. *PLoS Genet.* **8**, e1002967 (2012).
- 297 19. M. Raghavan *et al.*, The genetic prehistory of the New World Arctic. *Science*. **345** (2014).
- 298 20. E. P. Murchison *et al.*, Transmissible dog cancer genome reveals the origin and history of an
299 ancient cell lineage. *Science*. **343**, 437–440 (2014).
- 300 21. A. Strakova *et al.*, Mitochondrial genetic diversity, selection and recombination in a canine
301 transmissible cancer. *Elife*. **5** (2016), doi:10.7554/eLife.14552.
- 302 22. C. A. Rebbeck, A. M. Leroi, A. Burt, Mitochondrial capture by a transmissible cancer.
303 *Science*. **331**, 303 (2011).
- 304 23. T. M. Anderson *et al.*, Molecular and evolutionary history of melanism in North American
305 gray wolves. *Science*. **323**, 1339–1343 (2009).
- 306 24. H. G. Parker *et al.*, Genomic Analyses Reveal the Influence of Geographic Origin,
307 Migration, and Hybridization on Modern Dog Breed Development. *Cell Rep.* **19**, 697–708 (2017).
- 308 25. M. Derr, *A Dog's History of America: How Our Best Friend Explored, Conquered, and*
309 *Settled a Continent* (Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2005).
- 310 26. E. J. Lee *et al.*, Ancient DNA analysis of the oldest canid species from the Siberian Arctic
311 and genetic contribution to the domestic dog. *PLoS One*. **10**, e0125759 (2015).
- 312 27. S. Peterson, thesis (2010).
- 313 28. G. Monaghan, C. Peebles, The construction, use, and abandonment of Angel Site Mound A:
314 tracing the history of a Middle Mississippian town through its earthworks. *Am. Antiq.* **75**, 935–953
315 (2010).
- 316 29. E. A. Bluhm, A. Liss, in *Chicago Area Archaeology* (Illinois Archaeological Survey,
317 Urbana, 1961).
- 318 30. P. W. Parmalee, A. A. Paloumpis, N. Wilson, Peoples occupying the Apple Creek Site,
319 Illinois. *Illinois State Museum Reports of Investigations*. **23** (1972).
- 320 31. P. W. Parmalee, A. A. Paloumpis, N. Wilson, “Animals Utilized by Woodland Peoples
321 Occupying the Apple Creek Site, Illinois, Research Papers 5” (Illinois State Museum, Illinois

- 322 Valley Archaeological Program, Springfield, I, 1972).
- 323 32. J. B. Griffin, Late prehistory of the Ohio Valley. *Handbook of North American Indians*. **15**,
324 547–559 (1978).
- 325 33. F. Church, J. P. Nass Jr, J. P. Hart, C. B. Reith, Central Ohio Valley during the Late
326 Prehistoric Period: Subsistence-Settlement Systems' Responses to Risk. *Northeast Subsistence-*
327 *Settlement Change: AD 700--1300*, 11 (2002).
- 328 34. W. C. Mills, *Explorations of the Baum prehistoric village site* (FJ Heer, 1906).
- 329 35. J. B. Griffin, Fort Ancient Has No Class: The Absence of an Elite Group in Mississippian
330 Societies in the Central Ohio Valley. *Archeological Papers of the American Anthropological*
331 *Association*. **3**, 53–59 (1992).
- 332 36. E. G. Squier, E. H. Davis, *Ancient Monuments of the Mississippi Valley: Comprising the*
333 *Results of Extensive Original Surveys and Explorations* (Smithsonian Institution, 1848).
- 334 37. F. W. Putnam, in *Additional Mounds of Duval and Clay Counties, Florida.*, C. B. Moore,
335 Ed. (Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, 1896), pp. 26–27.
- 336 38. D. F. Morey, Burying key evidence: the social bond between dogs and people. *J. Archaeol.*
337 *Sci.* **33**, 158–175 (2006/2).
- 338 39. K. Nolan, P. Sculli, Rejoinder to Sciulli and Purcell: Two Late Prehistoric Dogs from the
339 Reinhardt Site (33PI880), Pickaway County, Ohio. *Pa. Archaeol.* **84**, 65–73 (2014).
- 340 40. C. B. Moore, Aboriginal sites on the Tennessee river. *Journal of the Academy for Natural*
341 *Sciences of Philadelphia*. **16**, 169–428 (1915).
- 342 41. T. C. Rick, J. M. Erlandson, R. L. Vellanoweth, T. J. Braje, From pleistocene mariners to
343 complex hunter-gatherers: The archaeology of the california channel islands. *Journal of World*
344 *Prehistory*. **19**, 169–228 (2005).
- 345 42. T. C. Rick *et al.*, Dogs, humans and island ecosystems: the distribution, antiquity and
346 ecology of domestic dogs (*Canis familiaris*) on California's Channel Islands, USA. *Holocene*. **18**,
347 1077–1087 (2008).
- 348 43. W. S. Webb, D. L. DeJarnette, "The Flint River Site, Museum Paper 23" (Geological
349 Survey of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, 1948).
- 350 44. D. M. Warren, thesis (2004).
- 351 45. W. D. Lipe, J. N. Morris, T. A. Kohler, *Dolores Archaeological Program: Anasazi*
352 *Communities at Dolores: Grass Mesa Village* (USDI Bureau of Reclamation, Engineering and
353 Research Center, Denver, 1988).
- 354 46. J. M. Galloy, PART V : WOODLAND PERIOD The Janey B . Goode Site (11S1232):
355 Highlights of Investigations at a Massive Late Prehistoric Site in the American Bottom. *Illinois*
356 *Archaeology*. **22**, 529–552 (2010).
- 357 47. Q. L. Borgic, J. M. Galloy, Domesticated Dog Remains from the Janey B. Goode Site
358 (2004).
- 359 48. G. L. Houart, Koster: a stratified Archaic site in the Illinois valley (1971) (available at
360 <http://core.tdar.org/document/147855>).
- 361 49. K. W. Butzer, Changing Holocene Environments at the Koster Site: A Geo-Archaeological
362 Perspective. *Am. Antiq.* **43**, 408–413 (1978).
- 363 50. J. E. Buikstra, in *The Archaeology of Death*, R. Chapman, I. Kinnes, K. Randsborg, Eds.
364 (Cambridge University Press, 1981), pp. 123–132.
- 365 51. E. R. Hajic, *Koster site archaeology I: stratigraphy and landscape evolution* (Center for
366 Amer Archeology Pr, 1990).
- 367 52. S. Struever, F. A. Holton, Koster: Americans in search of their prehistoric past (1979)

- (available at <http://core.tdar.org/document/117353/koster-americans-in-search-of-their-prehistoric-past>).
53. J. A. Brown, R. K. Vierra, *What happened in the Middle Archaic?: Introduction to an ecological approach to Koster Site Archaeology* (Academic Press Orlando, 1983).
 54. R. J. Jeske, R. Lurie, THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL VISIBILITY OF BIPOLAR TECHNOLOGY: AN EXAMPLE FROM THE KOSTER SITE. *MidCont. J. Archaeol.* **18**, 131–160 (1993).
 55. A. L. Boon, thesis, Indiana University of Pennsylvania (2013).
 56. E. R. Hajic, in *Encyclopedia of Geoarchaeology*, A. S. Gilbert, Ed. (Springer Netherlands, 2017), *Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series*, pp. 457–458.
 57. M. D. Wiant *et al.*, The archaic period in the lower Illinois river basin. *Archaic Societies: Diversity and Complexity across the Midcontinent*, 229–286 (2009).
 58. D. F. Morey, M. D. Wiant, Early Holocene Domestic Dog Burials From the North American Midwest. *Curr. Anthropol.* **33**, 224–229 (1992).
 59. F. C. Hill, *A Middle Archaic Dog Burial in Illinois* (Foundation for Illinois Archaeology, 1972).
 60. W. S. Webb, D. L. DeJarnette, “Little Bear Creek Site, Museum Paper 26” (1948).
 61. F. S. Barkalow Jr., Vertebrate Remains from Archaeological Sites in the Tennessee Valley of Alabama. *South. Indian Stud.* **24**, 3–41 (1972).
 62. C. P. Lope, M. A. Masson, T. S. Hare, C. Pedro, P. C. Delgado Ku, The Late Postclassic chronology of Mayapan: new radiocarbon evidence. *Ancient Mesoamerica.* **17**, 153–176 (2006).
 63. T. Proskouriakoff, in *Mayapan, Yucatan, Mexico, Carnegie Institute of Washington Publication 619* (1962), vol. 619, p. 87.
 64. A. L. Smith, in *Mayapan, Yucatan, Mexico, Carnegie Institute of Washington Publication 619* (1962), p. 619.
 65. M. A. Masson, C. P. Lope, Animal use at the Postclassic Maya center of Mayapan. *Quat. Int.* **191**, 170–183 (2008).
 66. A. E. Kane, C. K. Robinson, *Dolores Archaeological Program: Anasazi Communities at Dolores: McPhee Village* (USDI Bureau of Reclamation, Engineering and Research Center., Denver, 1988).
 67. M. L. Fowler, Modoc Rock Shelter: An Early Archaic Site in Southern Illinois. *Am. Antiq.* **24**, 257–270 (1959).
 68. P. W. Parmalee, “Appendix II: animals remains from the Modoc Rock Shelter site, Randolph County, Illinois” (Illinois State Museum, Springfield, Illinois, 1959), pp. 61–63.
 69. W. S. Webb, D. L. DeJarnette, The Perry Site, 1Lu25. *Geological Survey of Alabama, Alabama Natural History Museum Paper.* **25** (1948).
 70. E. Futato, Middle and Late Archaic Settlement at the Perry Site, 1LU25, Lauderdale County, Alabama. *Journal of Alabama Archaeology.* **48**, 80–92 (2002).
 71. J. A. Tuck, Archaic Indian Cemetery in Newfoundland. *Sci. Am.* **222**, 112–121 (1970).
 72. J. A. Tuck, An Archaic Cemetery at Port Au Choix, Newfoundland. *Am. Antiq.* **36**, 343–358 (1971).
 73. J. A. Tuck, *Ancient people of Port au Choix* (1976).
 74. B. C. Hood, The Maritime Archaic Indians of Labrador: Investigating Prehistoric Social Organization. *Newfoundland and Labrador Studies.* **9** (1993) (available at <https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/NFLDS/article/view/940>).
 75. M. A. P. Renouf, Palaeoeskimo Seal Hunters at Port au Choix, Northwestern

- 414 Newfoundland. *Newfoundland and Labrador Studies*. **9** (1993) (available at
415 <https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/NFLDS/article/view/941>).
- 416 76. E. J. Guiry, V. Grimes, Domestic dog (*Canis familiaris*) diets among coastal Late Archaic
417 groups of northeastern North America: A case study for the canine surrogacy approach. *Journal of*
418 *Anthropological Archaeology*. **32**, 732–745 (2013).
- 419 77. A. Perri, in *Economic Zooarchaeology: Studies in Hunting, Herding and Early Agriculture*,
420 P. Rowley-Conwy, D. Serjeantson, P. Halstead, Eds. (Oxbow, 2017).
- 421 78. G. M. Allen, *Dogs of the American aborigines* (Museum [of Comparative Zoology], 1920),
422 vol. 63.
- 423 79. W. A. Ritchie, An Early Site in Cayuga County, New York. *Research Records*. **7** (1945).
- 424 80. M. Eldridge, A. Parker, C. Mueller, S. Crockford, “Archaeological investigations at Ya
425 asqalu’i/Kaien Siding, Prince Rupert Harbour” (Millennia Research Limited for CN, 2014).
- 426 81. A. Parker, M. Eldridge, in *Proceedings of the 42nd Annual Conference on Computer*
427 *Applications and Quantitative Methods in Archaeology*, F. Giligny, F. Djindjian, L. Costa, P.
428 Moscati, S. Rober, Eds. (Archaeopress, Oxford, 2014), pp. 114–122.
- 429 82. S. J. Crockford, “Analysis of the vertebrate fauna from neighbouring Prince Rupert Harbour
430 sites GbTo-54 and GbTo-13: prehistoric mountain goat capital of North America” (Pacific
431 IDentification for Millenia Research Limited, 2014).
- 432 83. K. C. Nolan, Distributional Survey of the Reinhardt Site (33PI880), Pickaway County,
433 Ohio: A Strategy for Deciphering the Community Structure of a Fort Ancient Village. *MidCont. J.*
434 *Archaeol.* **36**, 105–130 (2011).
- 435 84. K. C. Nolan, Archaeological Survey of the Reinhardt Tract Property through a Certified
436 Local Government (CLG) Grant on behalf of the City of Columbus in Harrison Township,
437 Pickaway County, Ohio, Volume I: Survey Results. *Report Submitted to the Ohio Historic*
438 *Preservation Office, Columbus, OH* (2009) (available at
439 http://www.academia.edu/download/464862/Reinhardt_Tract_CLG_reportVolI_Final-web.doc).
- 440 85. K. C. Nolan, R. A. Cook, An evolutionary model of social change in the Middle Ohio
441 Valley: Was social complexity impossible during the late woodland but mandatory during the late
442 prehistoric? *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. **29**, 62–79 (2010/3).
- 443 86. J. E. Kerber, NATIVE AMERICAN TREATMENT OF DOGS IN NORTHEASTERN
444 NORTH AMERICA: ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND ETHNOHISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES.
445 *Archaeology of Eastern North America*. **25**, 81–95 (1997).
- 446 87. R. A. Cook, DOGS OF WAR: POTENTIAL SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS OF CONFLICT,
447 HEALING, AND DEATH IN A FORT ANCIENT VILLAGE. *Am. Antiq.* **77**, 498–523 (2012).
- 448 88. M. A. Potter, R. S. Baby, Hopewellian Dogs. *Ohio J. Sci.* **64**, 36–40 (1964).
- 449 89. W. D. Frankforter, G. A. Agogino, The Simonsen Site: Report for the Summer of 1959.
450 *Plains Anthropol.* **10**, 65–70 (1960).
- 451 90. G. A. Agogino, W. D. Frankforter, A Paleo-Indian Bison-Kill in Northwestern Iowa. *Am.*
452 *Antiq.* **25**, 414–415 (1960).
- 453 91. J. C. Equihua, Proyecto Salvamento Arqueológico Tizayuca 2006. Informe. Secuencias
454 estratigráficas y conjuntos arquitectónicos, tomo I. Technical report submitted to the INAH (2008).
- 455 92. A. Manin, thesis, Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle (2015).
- 456 93. A. Hrdlička, *The Anthropology of Kodiak Island* (Wistar Institute of Anatomy and Biology,
457 1944).
- 458 94. R. F. Heizer, G. W. Hewes, A. Hrdlicka, nd Archaeology of the Uyak Site, Kodiak Island,
459 Alaska (1956) (available at <http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/277048.pdf>).

- 460 95. A. F. Steffian, Fifty years after Hrdlicka: Further excavation of the Uyak site, Kodiak Island,
461 Alaska. *Contributions to the anthropology of southcentral and southwestern Alaska*, 141–164
462 (1992).
- 463 96. C. F. West, K. N. Jarvis, Osteometric Variation in Domestic Dogs (*Canis familiaris*) from
464 the Kodiak Archipelago, Alaska. *Int. J. Osteoarchaeol.* **25**, 289–298 (2015).
- 465 97. G. M. Allen, Dog Skulls from Uyak Bay, Kodiak Island. *J. Mammal.* **20**, 336–340 (1939).
- 466 98. G. R. Scott, Affinities of Prehistoric and Modern Kodiak Islanders and the Question of
467 Kachemak-Koniag Biological Continuity. *Arctic Anthropol.* **29**, 150–166 (1992).
- 468 99. D. W. Clark, Contributions to the Later Prehistory of Kodiak Island, Alaska. National
469 Museum of Man Mercury Series, No. 20. *National Museums of Canada: Ottawa* (1974).
- 470 100. C. F. West, C. A. France, Human and Canid Dietary Relationships: Comparative Stable
471 Isotope Analysis From the Kodiak Archipelago, Alaska. *J. Ethnobiol.* **35**, 519–535 (2015).
- 472 101. C. P. Groves, The advantages and disadvantages of being domesticated. *Perspectives in*
473 *Human Biology.* **4**, 1–12 (1999).
- 474 102. P. Tacon, C. Pardoe, Dogs make us human (2002) (available at
475 <http://www98.griffith.edu.au/dspace/handle/10072/16675>).
- 476 103. F. J. Simoons, *Eat Not this Flesh: Food Avoidances from Prehistory to the Present* (Univ of
477 Wisconsin Press, 1994).
- 478 104. L. B. Gregory, The Hatch site: A preliminary report. *Virginia Archeological Society,*
479 *Quarterly Bulletin.* **34**, 239–248 (1980).
- 480 105. E. S. Gregory, Weyanoke Old Town. *Archeological Society of Virginia Quarterly Bulletin.*
481 **41**, 49–71 (1986).
- 482 106. J. P. Blick, The Archaeology and Ethnohistory of the Dog in Virginia Algonquian Culture as
483 Seen from Weyanoke Old Town. *Algonquian Papers-Archive.* **31** (2000) (available at
484 <https://ojs3.library.carleton.ca/index.php/ALGQP/article/view/849>).
- 485 107. C. F. Feest, *The Virginia Indian in Pictures, 1612-1624* (Smithsonian Institution, 1967).
- 486 108. B. C. McCary, *Indians in Seventeenth-Century Virginia* (University Press of Virginia,
487 Charlottesville, 1957).
- 488 109. J. P. Blick, A preliminary report on the osteometric analysis of some aboriginal dogs (*Canis*
489 *familiaris*) from Weyanoke Old Town, 44 PG 51, Prince George County, Virginia. *Bull. Am. Assoc.*
490 *Hist. Nurs.* **43** (1988).
- 491 110. H. C. Rountree, *Pocahontas's People: The Powhatan Indians of Virginia Through Four*
492 *Centuries* (University of Oklahoma Press, 1990).
- 493 111. R. Beverley, *The History and Present State of Virginia* (The University of North Carolina
494 Press, Chapel Hill, 1705).
- 495 112. C. F. Feest, *Virginia Algonquians* (Smithsonian Institution, 1978).
- 496 113. W. A. Ritchie, *The Archaeology of New York State* (Knopf Doubleday Publishing Group,
497 1965).
- 498 114. J. A. Strong, Late Woodland dog ceremonialism on Long Island in comparative and
499 temporal perspective. *The Bulletin of the Journal of the New York State Archaeological Association.*
500 **91**, 32–38 (1985).
- 501 115. A. Perri, thesis, Durham University (2013).
- 502 116. W. D. Wallis, *The Micmac Indians of Eastern Canada* (U of Minnesota Press, 1955).
- 503 117. J. E. Kerber, A. D. Leveillee, R. L. Greenspan, AN UNUSUAL DOG BURIAL FEATURE
504 AT THE LAMBERT FARM SITE, WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND: PRELIMINARY
505 OBSERVATIONS. *Archaeology of Eastern North America.* **17**, 165–174 (1989).

506 118. T. Harriot, *A Brief and True Report of the New Found Land of Virginia*
507 (digitalcommons.unl.edu, London, 1588).

508 119. W. Strachey, *The History of Travel into Virginia Britannia* (Hakluyt Society, London,
509 1612).

510 120. J. Smith, *The Generall Historie of Virginia, New-England, and the Summer Isles* (1624).

511 121. J. Brereton, *True Relation of the Discoveries of the North Part of Virginia* (1602).

512 122. P. Hulton, *America 1585: The Complete Drawings of John White* (1984) (available at
513 <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00377996.1985.9958273>).

514 123. K. A. Kuckelman, *The Archaeology of Yellow Jacket Pueblo (Site 5MT5): Excavations at a*
515 *Large Community Center in Southwestern Colorado* (2003), (available at
516 <http://www.crowcanyon.org/yellowjacket>).

517 124. V. V. Pitulko, A. Kasparov, *Ancient Arctic Hunters: Material Culture and Survival Strategy.*
518 *Arctic Anthropol.* **33**, 1–36 (1996).

519 125. V. V. Pitulko, A. K. Kasparov, *Archaeological dogs from the Early Holocene Zhokhov site*
520 *in the Eastern Siberian Arctic. Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports.* **13**, 491–515 (2017/6).

521 126. J. Dabney *et al.*, *Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of a Middle Pleistocene cave*
522 *bear reconstructed from ultrashort DNA fragments. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, 15758–
523 15763 (2013).

524 127. P. B. Damgaard *et al.*, *Improving access to endogenous DNA in ancient bones and teeth.*
525 *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 11184 (2015).

526 128. M. Meyer, M. Kircher, *Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed target*
527 *capture and sequencing. Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* **2010**, db.prot5448 (2010).

528 129. K. E. Witt *et al.*, *DNA analysis of ancient dogs of the Americas: Identifying possible*
529 *founding haplotypes and reconstructing population histories. J. Hum. Evol.* **79**, 105–118 (2015).

530 130. M. E. Allentoft *et al.*, *Population genomics of Bronze Age Eurasia. Nature.* **522**, 167–172
531 (2015).

532 131. S. Lindgreen, *AdapterRemoval: easy cleaning of next-generation sequencing reads. BMC*
533 *Res. Notes.* **5**, 337 (2012).

534 132. H. Li, R. Durbin, *Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.*
535 *Bioinformatics.* **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).

536 133. M. Schubert *et al.*, *Improving ancient DNA read mapping against modern reference*
537 *genomes. BMC Genomics.* **13**, 178 (2012).

538 134. M. Kircher, *Analysis of high-throughput ancient DNA sequencing data. Methods Mol. Biol.*
539 **840**, 197–228 (2012).

540 135. R. E. Green *et al.*, *A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. Science.* **328**, 710–722
541 (2010).

542 136. P. Skoglund *et al.*, *Genomic insights into the peopling of the Southwest Pacific. Nature.*
543 **538**, 510–513 (2016).

544 137. W. Haak *et al.*, *Massive migration from the steppe was a source for Indo-European*
545 *languages in Europe. Nature.* **522**, 207–211 (2015).

546 138. L. A. F. Frantz *et al.*, *Genomic and archaeological evidence suggest a dual origin of*
547 *domestic dogs. Science.* **352**, 1228–1231 (2016).

548 139. H. Jónsson, A. Ginolhac, M. Schubert, P. L. F. Johnson, L. Orlando, *mapDamage2.0: fast*
549 *approximate Bayesian estimates of ancient DNA damage parameters. Bioinformatics.* **29**, 1682–
550 1684 (2013).

551 140. B. Bai *et al.*, *DoGSD: the dog and wolf genome SNP database. Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**,

552 D777–D783 (2014).

553 141. A. R. Quinlan, I. M. Hall, BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
554 features. *Bioinformatics*. **26**, 841–842 (2010).

555 142. E. P. Murchison *et al.*, Transmissible [corrected] dog cancer genome reveals the origin and
556 history of an ancient cell lineage. *Science*. **343**, 437–440 (2014).

557 143. P. Skoglund, E. Ersmark, E. Palkopoulou, L. Dalén, Ancient Wolf Genome Reveals an
558 Early Divergence of Domestic Dog Ancestors and Admixture into High-Latitude Breeds. *Curr.*
559 *Biol.* **25**, 1515–1519 (2015).

560 144. H. Li *et al.*, The Sequence Alignment / Map (SAM) Format and SAMtools 1000 Genome
561 Project Data Processing Subgroup. *Data Processing*, 1–2 (2009).

562 145. O. Thalmann *et al.*, Complete mitochondrial genomes of ancient canids suggest a European
563 origin of domestic dogs. *Science*. **342**, 871–874 (2013).

564 146. K. Katoh, G. Asimenos, H. Toh, in *Bioinformatics for DNA Sequence Analysis*, D. Posada,
565 Ed. (Humana Press), *Methods in Molecular Biology*, pp. 39–64.

566 147. K. Katoh, D. M. Standley, MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
567 improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).

568 148. A. Stamatakis, RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with
569 thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics*. **22**, 2688–2690 (2006).

570 149. B. van Asch *et al.*, Pre-Columbian origins of Native American dog breeds, with only limited
571 replacement by European dogs, confirmed by mtDNA analysis. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **280**, 20131142
572 (2013).

573 150. A. Strakova *et al.*, Mitochondrial genetic diversity, selection and recombination in a canine
574 transmissible cancer. *Elife*. **5** (2016), doi:10.7554/eLife.14552.

575 151. J.-F. Pang *et al.*, mtDNA data indicate a single origin for dogs south of Yangtze River, less
576 than 16,300 years ago, from numerous wolves. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **26**, 2849–2864 (2009).

577 152. S. Björnerfeldt, M. T. Webster, C. Vilà, Relaxation of selective constraint on dog
578 mitochondrial DNA following domestication, 990–994 (2006).

579 153. Z. Hao, Q. Zhang, B. Qu, The complete mitochondrial genome of the Chinese indigenous
580 dog. *Mitochondrial DNA A DNA Mapp Seq Anal.* **27**, 88–89 (2016).

581 154. K. M. Webb, M. W. Allard, Mitochondrial genome DNA analysis of the domestic dog:
582 identifying informative SNPs outside of the control region. *J. Forensic Sci.* **54**, 275–288 (2009).

583 155. J.-H. Zhao, W. Liu, The complete mitochondrial genome of the Simao Chinese indigenous
584 dog. *Mitochondrial DNA A DNA Mapp Seq Anal.* **27**, 545–546 (2016).

585 156. M. W. Pedersen *et al.*, Postglacial viability and colonization in North America’s ice-free
586 corridor. *Nature*. **537**, 45–49 (2016).

587 157. A. J. Drummond, M. A. Suchard, D. Xie, A. Rambaut, Bayesian Phylogenetics with
588 BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **29**, 1969–1973 (2012).

589 158. R. Lanfear, P. B. Frandsen, A. M. Wright, T. Senfeld, B. Calcott, PartitionFinder 2: New
590 Methods for Selecting Partitioned Models of Evolution for Molecular and Morphological
591 Phylogenetic Analyses. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **34**, 772–773 (2017).

592 159. A. J. Drummond, A. Rambaut, B. Shapiro, O. G. Pybus, Bayesian coalescent inference of
593 past population dynamics from molecular sequences. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22**, 1185–1192 (2005).

594 160. C. Drögemüller *et al.*, A mutation in hairless dogs implicates FOXI3 in ectodermal
595 development. *Science*. **321**, 1462 (2008).

596 161. N. Patterson, A. L. Price, D. Reich, Population structure and eigenanalysis. *PLoS Genet.* **2**,
597 e190 (2006).

- 598 162. S. Purcell *et al.*, PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based
599 linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **81**, 559–575 (2007).
- 600 163. E. Paradis, J. Claude, K. Strimmer, APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R
601 language. *Bioinformatics.* **20**, 289–290 (2004).
- 602 164. F. Ronquist, J. P. Huelsenbeck, MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed
603 models. *Bioinformatics.* **19**, 1572–1574 (2003).
- 604 165. H. E. L. Lischer, L. Excoffier, PGDSpider: an automated data conversion tool for
605 connecting population genetics and genomics programs. *Bioinformatics.* **28**, 298–299 (2012).
- 606 166. P. O. Lewis, A likelihood approach to estimating phylogeny from discrete morphological
607 character data. *Syst. Biol.* **50**, 913–925 (2001).
- 608 167. N. Patterson *et al.*, Ancient admixture in human history. *Genetics.* **192**, 1065–1093 (2012).
- 609 168. T. M. Anderson *et al.*, Molecular and evolutionary history of melanism in North American
610 gray wolves. *Science.* **323**, 1339–1343 (2009).
- 611 169. D. Reich, K. Thangaraj, N. Patterson, A. L. Price, L. Singh, Reconstructing Indian
612 population history. *Nature.* **461**, 489–494 (2009).
- 613 170. D. H. Alexander, J. Novembre, K. Lange, Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in
614 unrelated individuals. *Genome Res.* **19**, 1655–1664 (2009).
- 615 171. G. Larson *et al.*, Rethinking dog domestication by integrating genetics, archeology, and
616 biogeography. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 1203005109– (2012).
- 617 172. A. Eriksson, A. Manica, Effect of ancient population structure on the degree of
618 polymorphism shared between modern human populations and ancient hominins. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
619 *Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 13956–13960 (2012).
- 620 173. C. Murgia, J. K. Pritchard, S. Y. Kim, A. Fassati, R. A. Weiss, Clonal origin and evolution
621 of a transmissible cancer. *Cell.* **126**, 477–487 (2006).
- 622 174. C. A. Rebbeck, R. Thomas, M. Breen, A. M. Leroi, A. Burt, Origins and evolution of a
623 transmissible cancer. *Evolution.* **63**, 2340–2349 (2009).
- 624 175. A. Rimmer *et al.*, Integrating mapping-, assembly- and haplotype-based approaches for
625 calling variants in clinical sequencing applications. *Nat. Genet.* **46**, 912–918 (2014).
- 626 176. A. McKenna *et al.*, The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing
627 next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* **20**, 1297–1303 (2010).
- 628 177. B. Decker *et al.*, Comparison against 186 canid whole-genome sequences reveals survival
629 strategies of an ancient clonally transmissible canine tumor. *Genome Res.* **25**, 1646–1655 (2015).
- 630 178. A. Vaysse *et al.*, Identification of genomic regions associated with phenotypic variation
631 between dog breeds using selection mapping. *PLoS Genet.* **7**, e1002316 (2011).
- 632 179. K. Lindblad-Toh *et al.*, Genome sequence, comparative analysis and haplotype structure of
633 the domestic dog. *Nature.* **438**, 803–819 (2005).
- 634 180. G. Ha, A. Roth, D. Lai, A. Bashashati, J. Ding, Integrative analysis of genome-wide loss of
635 heterozygosity and monoallelic expression at nucleotide resolution reveals disrupted pathways in
636 triple-negative breast *Genome* (2012) (available at
637 <http://genome.cshlp.org/content/22/10/1995.short>).
- 638 181. C. Fraley, A. E. Raftery, T. B. Murphy, L. Scrucca, mclust Version 4 for R: Normal Mixture
639 Modeling for Model-Based Clustering, Classification, and Density Estimation. 2012. *University of*
640 *Washington: Seattle.*
- 641 182. P. Concannon, S. Whaley, D. Lein, R. Wissler, Canine gestation length: variation related to
642 time of mating and fertile life of sperm. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* **44**, 1819–1821 (1983).
- 643 183. A. C. Okkens, T. W. Hekerman, J. W. de Vogel, B. van Haften, Influence of litter size and

644 breed on variation in length of gestation in the dog. *Vet. Q.* **15**, 160–161 (1993).
 645 184. B. B. Gavrilovic, K. Andersson, C. Linde Forsberg, Reproductive patterns in the domestic
 646 dog--a retrospective study of the Drever breed. *Theriogenology*. **70**, 783–794 (2008).
 647 185. L. B. Alexandrov *et al.*, Clock-like mutational processes in human somatic cells. *Nat. Genet.*
 648 **47**, 1402–1407 (2015).
 649 186. D. P. Blaine, *A Domestic Treatise on the Diseases of Horses and Dogs* (T. Boosey, 1810).
 650 187. S. M. Waszak *et al.*, Germline determinants of the somatic mutation landscape in 2,642
 651 cancer genomes. *bioRxiv* (2017), p. 208330.

652
 653 **Figure 1 Sample location and ancestry of pre-contact dogs a.** A map depicting the location and
 654 age of the archeological remains analyzed in this study. Each dot represents a single sample, and
 655 multiple samples per archeological site are grouped in boxes. Sites mentioned in the text are
 656 labelled. **b.** A tip calibrated Bayesian mitochondrial phylogenetic tree of dogs, within haplogroup
 657 A. This analysis was conducted with 71 novel ancient mitogenomes together with 145 publicly
 658 available mitogenomes from both modern and ancient canids (3) (Fig. S6). Red branches represent
 659 modern dogs. Blue horizontal bars on nodes represent 95% High Density Posterior age. The grey
 660 shaded area represents the time frame during which people entered the Americas (10–12) **c.** A
 661 neighbor-joining tree built with whole genomes (3). **d** An admixture graph constructed with
 662 *TreeMix* (based on transversions; Supplementary Material) depicting the relationship between PCD
 663 (including the Port Du Choix [AL3194] and Weyanoke Old Town [AL3223] samples) and other
 664 dog and wolf populations. We only used Greenland dogs and Malamute (American Arctic dogs) for
 665 this analysis as these are the least admixed with Western Eurasian dogs (3).

666
 667 **Figure 2 Legacy of pre-contact dogs in modern american dogs a.** A map showing the locations
 668 of dog populations obtained from (9) and their degree of relatedness (D-statistics) with the ~4ky old
 669 Port au Choix dog (AL3194; see (3) and Fig. S14). Higher values (in red) represent closer
 670 relatedness. **b.** A map depicting the multiple introductions of dogs into the Americas.

671
 672 **Acknowledgement:** We thank L. Orlando, R. K. Wayne and D. Meltzer for their valuable
 673 comments, B. M. Kemp, M. Masson and J. Chupasko for support and J. Southon (Keck Carbon
 674 Cycle Accelerator Mass Spectrometer, University of California, Irvine) for the radiocarbon date on
 675 the Port au Choix dog. We would like to acknowledge the use of the University of Oxford
 676 Advanced Research Computing (ARC) facility for providing computing time. We thank the Illinois
 677 State Museum, the Illinois State Archaeological Survey, the G. A. Black Laboratory of
 678 Archaeology at Indiana University Bloomington, the Instituto Nacional de Antropologia e Historia
 679 and the Ohio Historical Society for access to material. We thank The Rooms (Museum Division),
 680 Board Executive and Government of Newfoundland and Labrador for permission to access and
 681 sample the Port au Choix material. We are grateful to M. Ptaszynska for useful information and to
 682 S. Zhang for assistance with samples. **Funding:** L.A.F.F. was supported by the Wellcome Trust
 683 (210119/Z/18/Z) and by Wolfson College (University of Oxford). L.A.F.F., J.H., A.L., A. H-B,
 684 O.L., K.M.D. and G.L. were supported either by a European Research Council grant (ERC-2013-
 685 StG-337574-UNDEAD) or Natural Environmental Research Council grants (NE/K005243/1 and
 686 NE/K003259/1), or both. M.N.L. and E.P.M. were supported by the Wellcome Trust
 687 (102942/Z/13/A). A.R.P. was supported by the Max Planck Society. E.K.I.P. was supported by a
 688 Clarendon Fund Scholarship, University of Oxford. M.T.P.G was supported by European Research
 689 Council grant (ERC-2015-CoG-681396 – Extinction Genomics). A.M. was supported by the

690 Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle. K.E.W. and R.S.M were supported by a National Science
 691 Foundation grant (BCS-1540336) and a Wenner-Gren grant. V.G. was supported by a Social
 692 Sciences and Humanities Research Council Insight Grant. V.V.P., E.Y.P., and P.A.N. were
 693 supported by Russian Science Foundation project N16-18-10265-RNF. We thank the staff of the
 694 Danish National High-Throughput Sequencing Centre for assistance in data generation and the
 695 Illinois State Museum Society for funding. **Author contributions:** L.A.F.F, G.L. and E.P.M
 696 conceived the project and designed research; A.P., K.D. and G.L. coordinated the archaeological
 697 analyses and sampling collection efforts with input from R.P.M, C.A., A.B-H and K.E.W.; A.P.,
 698 C.A, J.B, E.G., A.J.H, M-H.S.S., S.J.S, M.E., V.G., J.J, A.K.K, P.A.N, C.P.L, A.M., T.M., K.N.M.,
 699 M.O., E.Y.P, P.S, V.V.I., C.W. and V.V.P provided/collected samples; K.W.E, A.L., J.H., O.L.,
 700 S.B., A.D. E.A.D., J.E., J-M. R., M-H.S.S. conducted the ancient laboratory work with input from
 701 R.P.M., G.L., L.A.F.F, E.W., I.B., and M.T.P.G.; M.M., E.P.M., and A.S. provided/collected CTVT
 702 samples; M.N.L. and Y-M.K. conducted the CTVT analyses with input from E.P.M., K.G., and
 703 L.A.F.F.; M.N.L., L.A.F.F and E.K.I.P conducted the analyses of ancient data with input from S.G.,
 704 A.K., A.B. and E.P.M.; L.A.F.F., G.L., E.P.M., M.N.L. and A.P., wrote the paper with input from
 705 all other authors. **Competing interests:** A.D., J.E, and J-M.R. are employees of Arbor Biosciences
 706 which provided target enrichment kits used in this study. J-M.R. is also a founder of Arbor
 707 Biosciences. **Data and materials availability:** The reads for the ancient data have been deposited
 708 at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with project number PRJEB22026. Reads for new
 709 CTVT genomes were deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with project number
 710 PRJEB22148.

711
 712 **Supplementary Materials:**
 713 Material and Methods
 714 Figs. S1 to S28
 715 Tables S3 to S8, and S12 to S16
 716 Captions for Tables S1, S2, S9 to S11
 717 References 26-187

718
 719 **Other Supporting Online Material for this manuscript includes the following:**
 720 Tables S1, S2, and S9 to S11 (Excel)